

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/974,882 Examiner Daniel M Sullivan	Applicant(s) NOLAN ET AL. Art Unit 1636
--------------------------------------	--	--

All Participants:

(1) Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner.

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____

(2) Dan Chambers, Applicant's representative.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 October 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
The Examiner initiated the interview to request clarification of a statement in the Reply filed 22 September 2003 indicating that a document amending the first line of the specification to include the chain of priority was filed with the application. Applicant's representative was informed that no such amendment is present in the USPTO records. Applicant's representative said that he would call back after reviewing his records, but had not responded as of 16 October 2003..